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I. Introduction

ORBITAL dynamics of a system of connected bodies is of great interest due to its numerous possible applications, including orbital stations, robots, tethered systems with multiple payloads, and formation flying. The first studies on the subject were published in the early 1960s and were followed by much scientific research and many engineering projects. A large part of this work is dedicated to the behavior of two tethered bodies. However, there exists significant interest for multibody systems, inspired by various space programs under development. The study of multibody systems is mainly focused on numerical analysis (see, for instance, Refs. 1–4). Analytical research is mostly restricted to systems with a small number of connected bodies (see Refs. 5–9 for chains with two or three bodies) or to systems with specific additional conditions (such as the orbiting ring studied in Refs. 10 and 11).

In this paper, we suggest an analytical study of a system that includes an arbitrary number of satellites joined into an open chain by light rigid rods. We impose no restrictions on the masses of satellites or the lengths of the links. We model the satellites by material points and suppose that the mass of the links is negligible compared with the masses of the satellites. (This approach is used in most analytical studies of tethered systems, such as Refs. 6–9 and 11.) We study equilibrium configurations of this system in the orbital reference frame.

Some particular cases of this problem were examined previously. The two-member chain (double pendulum) is a particular case of two connected bodies whose in-plane equilibria were studied in Ref. 5. The model we consider was used3–9 to study equilibria of three linked material points. It was shown that there exist only three kinds of in-plane equilibria. The in-plane equilibria of a two-link chain were studied, and the principal frequencies of small-amplitude motions about these equilibria were determined. All the spatial equilibria of a double pendulum were found in Ref. 8. A study of equilibria of a double pendulum in the plane of a circular orbit was performed in Ref. 9, and stability of all the in-plane configurations was analyzed.

II. Posing the Problem

Let us consider a system of \( n + 1 \) material points, \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n \), with respective masses \( m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_n \). These points are connected by \( n \) light rigid rods (\( \mathbf{a}_k = A_k - A_{k-1}, k = 1, \ldots, n \)) into an open chain. The junctions are spherical hinges. The gravitational field of the Earth is supposed to be central Newtonian. The center of mass of the system \( O \) moves along a circular orbit with angular velocity \( \omega \).

To describe the behavior of this system we use the orbital reference frame \( Oxyz \). Its \( z \) axis follows the local vertical, the \( x \) axis is tangent to the orbit and points in the direction of the velocity of point \( O \), and so the \( y \) axis is normal to the plane of the orbit. The orientation of the rod \( \mathbf{a}_1 = A_1 - A_0 \) is described by the angle \( \phi_k \) between the rod \( \mathbf{a}_k \) and the \( z \) axis (Fig. 1).

The coordinates of the vector \( \mathbf{a}_k = A_k - A_0 \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{a}_k &= \mathbf{a}_k \sin \phi_k, \\
\mathbf{a}_k &= \eta_k = 0 \\
\mathbf{a}_k &= \zeta_k = a_k \cos \phi_k
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

The coordinates of the point \( A_k (k = 1, \ldots, n) \) in the orbital frame are

\[
\begin{align*}
x_k &= x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i, \\
y_k &= 0, \\
z_k &= z_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_i
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where \( x_0, y_0, \) and \( z_0 \) are the coordinates of the first satellite in the chain \( A_0 \). We denote by \( x_s, y_s, \) and \( z_s \) the coordinates of the center of mass \( O \) of the structure. For this choice of the reference frame, \( x_s = y_s = z_s = 0 \), and we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k x_k &= M x_s = 0, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k z_k &= M z_s = 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

Then one can determine the position of the point \( A_0 \):

\[
x_0 = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i^* \xi_i, \\
z_0 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i^* \zeta_i
\]  

(4)

We use the notation

\[
M_i^* = \sum_{p=0}^{i} m_p, \quad M_0^* = M
\]  

(the total mass of the chain). Thus, the system considered has \( n \) degrees of freedom, and \( \phi_k (k = 1, \ldots, n) \) represent the generalized coordinates.

The kinetic energy \( T \) of the system is

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \left\{ \dot{x}_k + \omega (\zeta_k + r_0) \right\}^2 + (\zeta_k - \omega x_k)^2
\]  

(5)
Its gravitational potential energy is

\[ V = -\omega^2 r_0^3 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{m_k}{r_k} \]  

where \( r_k \) is the distance between the point \( A_k \) and the center of the Earth,

\[ r_k = \sqrt{x_k^2 + (r_0 + z_k)^2} \]

and \( r_0 \) represents this distance for the center of mass \( O \) of the system (that is, \( r_0 \) is the radius of the orbit). Calculating the function \( V \) and taking into account the terms up to the second order of \( a_k/r_0 \), one gets

\[
V = -\omega^2 r_0^3 \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \left[ x_k^2 + (r_0 + z_k)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= -\omega^2 r_0^3 \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{z_k}{r_0} + \frac{x_k^2 + z_k^2}{r_0^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= -\omega^2 r_0^3 \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \left( 1 - \frac{z_k}{r_0} - \frac{x_k^2 - 2z_k^2}{r_0^2} \right) \\
= -M\omega^2 r_0^3 + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k \left( x_k^2 - 2z_k^2 \right) \tag{8}
\]

We used relation \(3 \) once more.

### III. Equations of Equilibria

Equilibria of the chain correspond to the solutions

\[ \phi_k = \phi_{0k} = \text{const} \]  

of the equations

\[
\frac{\partial (T_0 - V)}{\partial \phi_k} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n \tag{10}
\]

where \( T_0 \) represents the part of \( T \) independent of the velocities, and \( T_0 - V \) is sometimes referred to as the dynamic potential. Simplifying the term \( T_0 \) using relation \(3 \), one gets

\[
T_0 - V = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k z_k^2 + \text{const} \tag{11}
\]

and so the in-plane equilibrium configurations of the chain are described by the following equations:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial \phi_p} = 0, \quad p = 1, \ldots, n \tag{12}
\]

Substituting Eq. \(4 \) into system \(2 \), we arrive at

\[
z_k = \frac{1}{M} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{k} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s - \sum_{s=k+1}^{n} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s \right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \tag{13}
\]

For the sake of uniformity we assume the convention that

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{0} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s = 0, \quad \sum_{s=n+1}^{n} M_{0}^{s} \zeta_s = 0
\]

So

\[
\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial \phi_p} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{M} M_{p}^{n} a_p \sin \phi_p, & \text{for } k < p, \ 0 \leq k, p \leq n \\
-\frac{1}{M} M_{0}^{p-1} a_p \sin \phi_p, & \text{for } k \geq p 
\end{cases}
\]

and rather tedious calculations lead to the following:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_k z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial \phi_p} = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{M^2} m_k \left( \sum_{s=1}^{k} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s - \sum_{s=k+1}^{n} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s \right) M_{0}^{p} \right] a_p \sin \phi_p \\
= \frac{a_p \sin \phi_p}{M^2} \left[ M_{p}^{n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{n} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s - \sum_{s=p+1}^{n} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{s} \zeta_s \right) \\
- \sum_{s=1}^{n} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{s} \zeta_s + \sum_{s=p+1}^{n} M_{0}^{p} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s \right] \\
- \sum_{s=1}^{n} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{s-1} \zeta_s - \sum_{s=p+1}^{n} M_{0}^{p} M_{0}^{s} \zeta_s \right], \tag{14}
\]

Finally we arrive at the following system:

\[
\sin \phi_k \cdot (b_{ij} z_j) = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n \tag{16}
\]

where \( z = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n)^T \),

\[
b_{k1} = (1/M^2) \left[ m_0 M_{0}^{n} \zeta_1, m_0 M_{0}^{n-1} \zeta_1, \ldots, m_0 m_{n-1} \zeta_1, m_0 m_{n} \right] \tag{17}
\]

\[
b_{k2} = (1/M^2) \left[ m_0 m_{n} \zeta_2, (m_0 + m_1) m_{n-1} \zeta_2, \ldots, m_0 m_{n-1} \zeta_2, M_{0}^{n-1} m_{n} \right]
\]

\[
b_{k3} = (1/M^2) \left[ m_0 m_{n}, (m_0 + m_1) m_{n-1}, \ldots, M_{0}^{n-2} m_{n}, M_{0}^{n-1} m_{n} \right]
\]

\[
M_{0}^{k-1} M_{0}^{n}, \ldots, M_{0}^{k-1} M_{0}^{n-1}, M_{0}^{k} M_{0}^{n-1}, \ldots, \right] \tag{18}
\]

Here \( b_k \) is the row \( k \) of the matrix \( B(n; m_0, \ldots, m_n) \) with the element in row \( i \) and column \( j \) equal to

\[
b_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{M} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{p}, & \text{for } j \leq i \\
\frac{1}{M^2} M_{0}^{p-1} M_{0}^{p}, & \text{for } j < i 
\end{cases}
\]

The matrix \( B \) will be referred to as the mass matrix.
IV. Properties of the Mass Matrix

We now describe some important properties of the matrix \( B \). They will be our main tool in the analysis of system (16). These properties permit us to identify some elementary equilibrium configurations and then to describe all possible equilibria using superposition.

In the following analysis, we denote by \( D_J \) the matrix obtained from \( B \) by exclusion of rows and columns with numbers in the set \( J: D_J = (b_{ij} | i, j \notin J) \); \( d_{kj} \) stands for the \( k \)th column of \( B \) without elements with indices from \( J: d_{kj} = (b_{kj} | j \notin J) \) and \( z_J \) stands for the column \( z \) without these elements: \( z_J = (\xi_j | j \notin J) \).

Property 1: The neighboring rows of this matrix satisfy the relations

\[
(M/m_{k-1})(b_k - b_{k-1}) + (M/m_k)(b_k - b_{k+1}) = e_k
\]

\[
k = 2, \ldots, n - 1
\]

\[
(M/m_0)b_1 + (M/m_1)(b_1 - b_2) = e_1
\]

\[
(M/m_{n-1})(b_n - b_{n-1}) + (M/m_n)b_n = e_n
\]

(20)

where \( e_k = (\delta_{k1}, \ldots, \delta_{kn}) \) designates the \( k \)th row of the identity matrix \( n \times n \) (\( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker symbol).

Property 2: For \( J = \{k + 1, \ldots, k + r\} \), \( k \geq 1 \), \( k + r \leq n \), the matrix \( D_J \) coincides with \( B \) for the \((n - r)\)-link chain with the masses \( m_i = m_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \); \( m_i = m_k^{r-1} \), \( m_i = m_{k+r} \), \( j = k + 1, \ldots, n - r \).

\[
D_J = B(n - r; m_0, \ldots, m_{k-1}, m_k^{r-1}, m_{k+r}, \ldots, m_n)
\]

(21)

In the particular case \( J = \{1, \ldots, r\} \), \( D_J \) coincides with the mass matrix \( B \) for the \((n - r)\)-link chain with the masses \( m_1 = M_0 \), \( m_i = M_{r+j} \), \( j = 2, \ldots, n - r \).

Properties 1 and 2 can be proved by direct substitution.

Property 3: The matrix \( B \) does not degenerate:

\[
\det B = (m_0m_1, \ldots, m_n)/M^{n+1} \neq 0
\]

(22)

We prove this equality by induction.

For \( n = 1 \), one gets \( \det B = m_0/m^2 \), and the statement is true.

Now we suppose that Eq. (22) is valid for \( n = k - 1 \) and prove it for \( n = k \). For the latter case we apply Eq. (20) to the last row of \( B \) and get

\[
\det B = \frac{m_{k-1}m_k}{M(m_{k-1} + m_k)} \det D_J
\]

(23)

where \( J = \{n\} \). In accordance with Eq. (21), \( D_J = B(k - 1; m_0, \ldots, m_{k-2}, m_{k-1} + m_k) \), and we can use Eq. (22):

\[
\det D_J = (m_0m_1, \ldots, m_{k-2}(m_{k-1} + m_k))/M^k
\]

(24)

Finally,

\[
\det B = \frac{m_{k-1}m_k}{M(m_{k-1} + m_k)} \frac{m_0m_1, \ldots, m_{k-2}(m_{k-1} + m_k)}/M^k
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} m_j / M^{k+1}
\]

(25)

which proves equality (22) for an \( n \)-link chain.

Property 4: For an arbitrary set \( J \), the matrix \( D_J \) does not degenerate either:

\[
\det D_J \neq 0
\]

(26)

Suppose that \( J = \bigcup J_i \), where \( J_i = \{k_i + 1, \ldots, k_i + r_i\} \). Applying Property 2 \( r \) times, one concludes that \( D_J \) coincides with the matrix \( B \) for a \( p \)-link chain \((p = n - \sum r_i)\) with masses \( m_i = m_j \), \( j \notin J \). Therefore, \( D_J = B(p; \ldots, \ldots) \). Thus,

\[
\det D_J = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} m_j / M^{p+1} \neq 0
\]

(27)

V. Equilibrium Configurations

In this section, we describe all of the possible solutions of system (16) and the corresponding configurations of a chain with an arbitrary number of satellites. These general results will later be illustrated in Sec. VI by two particular cases: equilibria of a three-link chain with different masses of satellites and lengths of the links (Fig. 2) and equilibria of a four-link chain with equal masses of points and lengths of rods (Figs. 3–6).

In Eqs. (16), both factors can vanish independently. Thus, to describe all the equilibria we have to study all these possibilities.

Fig. 2 Study of a three-link chain: a–d) existing equilibrium configurations and e and f) equilibria cannot be realized.
The equilibrium configurations correspond to the solutions of linear system

$$\mathbf{z} = 0$$ (29)

with nonzero determinant (22). So the unique solution of Eqs. (16) is

$$\mathbf{z} = 0$$ (30)

which means, in terms of angles $\phi_k$, that

$$\cos \phi_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n$$ (31)

The orientation of the $k$th member corresponds to $\phi_k = \pm \pi / 2$. Thus, in this case there are $2^n$ equilibria that exist for any lengths of the rods and masses of the satellites. All of them correspond to positions of the chain with all links pointed along the tangent to the orbit. Examples of such configurations are provided in Figs. 2a and 3a.

### B. One Vertical Rod

Consider now the case when there is only one number $k$ for which $\sin \phi_k = 0$. The corresponding rod is parallel to the local vertical $Oz$. Then $\phi_k = 0$ or $\phi_k = \pi$, $\cos \phi_k = \pm 1$, and $\xi_k = \xi_k^{(0)} = \pm \alpha_k$. The equations that describe the equilibrium configurations of the chain are

$$b_{1,0} \xi_1 + \cdots + b_{1,k} \xi_k + b_{1,k+1} \xi_{k+1} + \cdots + b_{1,n} \xi_n = 0$$

$$b_{2,0} \xi_1 + \cdots + b_{2,k} \xi_k + b_{2,k+1} \xi_{k+1} + \cdots + b_{2,n} \xi_n = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$b_{n,0} \xi_1 + \cdots + b_{n,k} \xi_k + b_{n,k+1} \xi_{k+1} + \cdots + b_{n,n} \xi_n = 0$$

This system can be represented as

$$\mathbf{D}_r \mathbf{z}_k = -\mathbf{d}_k \xi_k^{(0)}, \quad J = \{k\}$$ (33)

(Here the $k$th row is omitted.)

Suppose first that $k = 2, \ldots, n - 1$. One can notice that it is possible to apply transformation (20) to all the lines of Eq. (32) except the $(k - 1)$th and $(k + 1)$th ones, and so this system is equivalent to

$$\xi_1 = 0, \ldots, \xi_{k-2} = 0, \quad \xi_{k-1} = \xi_k^{(0)}, \quad \xi_{k+1} = 0, \ldots, \xi_n = 0$$

$$b_{k-1,k} \xi_{k-1} + b_{k-1,k+1} \xi_{k+1} + b_{k-1,n} \xi_n = 0$$

$$b_{k+1,k} \xi_{k+1} + b_{k+1,k+1} \xi_{k+2} + b_{k+1,n} \xi_n = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$b_{n-1,k} \xi_{n-1} + b_{n-1,n} \xi_n = 0$$

$$b_{n,k} \xi_k + b_{n,k+1} \xi_{k+1} + \cdots + b_{n,n} \xi_n = 0$$

(34)
Now we use the expressions for \( h_j \) to determine \( \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1} \) and the respective angles of orientation of the rods:

\[
\xi_{k-1} = -\xi_0^{(0)} \frac{m_k}{(m_{k-1} + m_k)} \cos \theta_k \\
\xi_{k+1} = -\xi_0^{(0)} \frac{m_k}{(m_{k+1} + m_k)} \cos \theta_k
\]

The condition of existence of this equilibrium is

\[
(a_k/a_{k+1})[m_k/(m_{k-1} + m_k)] < 1 \\
(a_k/a_{k-1})[m_k/(m_{k+1} + m_k)] < 1
\] (36)

If \((a_k/a_{k-1})[m_k/(m_{k-1} + m_k)] = 1\) or \((a_k/a_{k+1})[m_k/(m_{k+1} + m_k)] = 1\), the rod \(a_{k-1}\) or \(a_{k+1}\) is also aligned with the local vertical; we do not consider this case here. For \( k = 1 \) the solution is given by the formulas

\[
\xi_2 = -\xi_0^{(0)} \frac{m_0}{(m_0 + m_1)} \cos \theta_2 \\
\cos \phi_2 = -(a_1/a_2) \frac{m_0}{(m_0 + m_1)} \cos \theta_1
\]

if \((a_1/a_2)[m_0/(m_0 + m_1)] < 1\) (37)

and for \( k = n \) one gets

\[
\xi_{n-1} = -\xi_0^{(0)} \frac{m_n}{(m_{n-1} + m_n)} \cos \theta_{n-1} \\
\cos \phi_{n-1} = -(a_{n-1}/a_n) \frac{m_n}{(m_{n-1} + m_n)} \cos \theta_n
\]

if \((a_{n-1}/a_n)[m_n/(m_{n-1} + m_n)] < 1\) (38)

Thus, the equilibrium configurations in this case are as follows: The rod \(a_k\) is aligned with the local vertical. The center of mass of the system of two points \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) connected by the rod \( a_k \) belongs to the \( x \) axis. All other rods of the chain except the direct neighbors of \(a_k\) are also situated on the \( x \) axis. (Examples are given by Figs. 2b, 2c, and 4.) Such an equilibrium exist if the lengths of the rods \(a_{k-1}\) and \(a_{k+1}\) (or of one of these if \( k = 1 \) or \( n \)) suffice to allow this configuration:

\[
a_{k-1} > a_k [m_k/(m_{k-1} + m_k)] \\
a_{k+1} > a_k [m_k/(m_{k+1} + m_k)]
\] (39)

Because one can indicate two values of \( \phi_k \) with the same cosine, the number of equilibria of this type does not exceed \( n - 2^a \).

### C. One Group of Vertical Rods

Suppose that in system (16) \( \sin \phi_j = 0 \) iff \( j \in E = \{k + 1, \ldots, k + r\} \). (This means that some group of adjacent rods is aligned with the local vertical.) Then \( \cos \phi_j = \pm 1 \), and \( \xi_j = \xi_0^{(0)} = \pm \alpha_j \) for \( j \in E \). One can use property (20) to show that \( \xi_j = 0 \) for \( j \notin E \). For \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_{k+r+1} \) one arrives at the following system of equations:

\[
M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+1} + M_0^{k} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-r} \xi_{k+r} + \xi_{k+r+1} = 0 \\
M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+1} + M_0^{k+r} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} \xi_{k+r} + \xi_{k+r+1} = 0
\]

Simplifying Eqs. (40), we get

\[
M_0^{k+r} \xi_k + M_0^{k+r} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+r+1} = 0
\]

and so

\[
\xi_k = -\left(\frac{M_0^{k+r} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+r}}{M_0^{k+r}}\right) \\
\xi_{k+r+1} = -\left(\frac{M_0^{k+r} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+r}}{M_0^{k+r}}\right)
\]

Equalities (41) show that the center of mass of the group of vertical rods should lie on the local horizontal. There are no more than \( 2^a \) equilibrium configurations corresponding to solution (42). One of them exists if the lengths of the rods allow it:

\[
\left|\left(\frac{M_0^{k+r} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k+r} \xi_{k+r}}{M_0^{k+r}}\right)\right| < a_k
\]

In case the group of vertical rods is located at one of the ends of the chain we get only one equation corresponding to the neighboring rod:

\[
m_0 M_0^{k} \xi_{k+1} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} M_0^{k} \xi_0^{(0)} + M_0^{k} M_0^{k+1} \xi_{k+1} = 0
\]

so that

\[
\xi_{k+1} = -\left(\frac{M_0^{k+1} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} \xi_0^{(0)}}{M_0^{k+1}}\right) \\
\xi_{k+1} = -\left(\frac{M_0^{k+1} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} \xi_0^{(0)}}{M_0^{k+1}}\right)
\]

for \( k = 0, \) and

\[
M_0^{k} M_0^{k+1} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} m_0 \xi_0^{(0)} = 0
\]

and so

\[
\xi_0 = -\left(\frac{M_0^{k+1} \xi_0^{(0)} + \cdots + M_0^{k-1} \xi_0^{(0)}}{M_0^{k+1}}\right)
\]

for \( k + r = n \). The respective equilibrium configurations possess the aforementioned properties described for the case of an individual group of vertical rods (see Figs. 2c, 5a, and 6a).

System (16) also admits the solution

\[
\sin \phi_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n
\]

which implies that the whole chain is situated on the local vertical. All the \( 2^a \) configurations are possible in this case (see Figs. 2d and 3b).

### D. Two or More Groups of Vertical Rods

With no loss of generality, let us consider the case of two groups of vertical rods.

Suppose that \( \sin \phi_j = 0 \) only for \( j \in J_1 \cup J_2 \), \( J_1 = [k_1 + 1, \ldots, k_1 + r_1] \), \( J_2 = [k_2 + 1, \ldots, k_2 + r_2] \) with \( k_1 + r_1 + 1 \leq k_2 \). Then \( \cos \phi_j = \pm 1 \), and \( \xi_j = \xi_0^{(0)} = \pm \alpha_j \) for \( j \in J \). Equations of equilibrium are now

\[
\sum_{j \in J_1} \xi_j^{(0)} = -\left[\sum_{j \in J_1} m_j \xi_j^{(0)} + \sum_{j \in J_2} d_j \xi_j^{(0)}\right]
\]

Along with Eqs. (49), let us study the following two systems:

\[
\sum_{j \in J_1} \xi_j^{(0)} = -\sum_{j \in J_1} d_j \xi_j^{(0)}
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in J_1} \xi_j^{(0)} = -\sum_{j \in J_2} d_j \xi_j^{(0)}
\]

System (50) was obtained from Eqs. (49) by putting \( \xi_0^{(0)} = 0 \) for \( j \notin J \). In accordance with Eq. (26), systems (49)–(51) have unique solutions. Obviously, system (51) is the system of equilibrium equations for a single group of vertical rods. It was examined before, and the solution implies \( \xi_j^{(0)} = 0 \) for \( j \in [1, \ldots, k_1 - 1, k_1 + r_1 + 1, \ldots, k_2] \), including \( j \notin J \). It means that the unique solution of system (50) is \( \xi_0^{(0)} = 0 \) for \( j \notin J \cup [k_1, k_1 + r_1 + 1] \) and \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{k+r+1} \) are given by Eqs. (42)
A. Three-Link Chain

The masses of the points are respectively \( m_1 = m \), \( m_2 = 2m \), \( m_3 = 4m \), and \( m_4 = 8m \). The connecting rods have the lengths \( l_{12} = 2l \), \( l_{23} = 2l \), and \( l_{34} = 4l \). Figure 2a shows four of the existing \( 2^3 = 8 \) horizontal configurations; the other four are symmetric with respect to the \( z \) axis. Figure 2b shows four of the \( 2^2 = 4 \) vertical configurations; the other four are symmetric with respect to the \( x \) axis. For this chain, there are no equilibria where either \( BC \) or \( CD \) is the only vertical rod; the reason is illustrated in Fig. 2e. In this configuration, \( \varpi = 2l_{BC}/3 = 4l/3 \), but point \( A \) should lie on the \( x \) axis. This is possible only if \( l_{AB} > 4l/3 \), which is not the case. All essentially different existing equilibria with a group of two vertical rods are shown in Fig. 2c. (The remaining nine configurations are obtained by symmetries with respect to \( x \) and/or \( z \) axis.) For this chain, there are no equilibria with two groups of vertical rods. As shown in Fig. 2e, the existence of such equilibria would imply that \( l_{BC} > \varpi = 2l_{BC}/3 - 1 \), which is not possible. In Fig. 2d, one can see four existing vertical configurations; the other four are symmetric with respect to the \( x \) axis. In this case there exist 36 equilibrium configurations of the chain. [The upper bound of Eq. (55) is \( 2^8 = 64 \).]

B. Four-Link Chain

Now let us consider equilibria of a four-link chain in the plane of a circular orbit. To simplify the calculations we choose a system of five material points \( A, B, C, D, \) and \( E \) of equal masses. The lengths of the links are also equal. Figures 3–6 represent schematically five groups of possible equilibria. Figure 3 shows all essentially different equilibria, where the rods are either all horizontal or all vertical; the others are symmetric with respect to \( z \) or \( x \) axis correspondingly. Figures 4–6 show schemes of existing equilibria where orientations of rods may differ; the rest of them are symmetric with respect to \( x \) and/or \( z \) axis. The total number of equilibrium configurations is \( N = 192 \). The difference between the estimate (55) and the actual quantity \( 2^8 = 256 \) originates in the specific dimensions of the chain. For example, a unique group of two vertical rods aligned with the same direction proves impossible, because the rod adjacent to this group would have to be vertical as well. Consequently, we deal with the case of three adjacent vertical rods (two of them pointing in the same direction, and the third in the opposite one), which are represented in Fig. 6.

VII. Conclusions

We study equilibria of a multibody connected system within the framework of the model of an \( n \)-link chain. All possible configurations in the plane of a circular orbit are described. It is shown that each rod of the chain can occupy one of the following positions:

1. It can be directed along the local horizontal \( Ox \) (tangent to the orbit).
2. It can be a member of a group of \( k \) consequent vertical rods and is the center of mass of this group situated on the axis \( Ox \).
3. An oblique orientation is possible if the rod joins either two vertical groups of rods or the end of a vertical group with the axis \( Ox \).

Each of these configurations exists when the lengths of the oblique rods (if there are any) allow it. The total number of equilibria does not exceed \( 2^8 \).

For the particular case of a two-member link the results obtained coincide with those of Refs. 5–9.
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